Promoting Telehealth Adoption by Capitalizing on Lessons from the COVID-19 Pandemic

AUTHOR 1, Affiliation

AUTHOR 2, Affiliation

Telehealth can significantly reduce healthcare costs and broaden specialized treatment access to remote areas, yet adoption was very low until the COVID-19 pandemic triggered an unprecedented demand in telecommunication technologies. We leverage this unique time in history and study the use of synchronous telecommunication technologies in healthcare during COVID-19 through a large online survey (N=100) and followup interviews (N=10). We find that current technologies have major limitations, such as X and Y; although healthcare providers found new benefits, mainly A and B. Based on these empirical results, we develop sociotechnical guidelines for the development of future telehealth technology, including A).. B).. and C)... Most importantly, healthcare providers want to keep using telehealth for part of their work, showing the latent need to move forward development.

CCS Concepts: • **Human-centered computing** → *Empirical studies in collaborative and social computing*;

Additional Key Words and Phrases: telehealth, telecommunication, remote collaboration, survey

ACM Reference Format:

Author 1 and Author 2. 2018. Promoting Telehealth Adoption by Capitalizing on Lessons from the COVID-19 Pandemic. In Woodstock '18: ACM Symposium on Neural Gaze Detection, June 03–05, 2018, Woodstock, NY. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 4 pages. https://doi.org/10. 1145/1122445.1122456

1 INTRODUCTION

The concepts of Telehealth and Telemedicine have evolved through time with the changing needs in health and with new technology development. Yet there is growing consensus that Telemedicine is a subset of Telehealth, as Telemedicine is the provision of medical services at a distance, and Telehealth includes a broader set of activities, such as patient and provider education [6, 15]. We stand on these definitions for the purpose of this article. Telehealth has the potential for a profound social impact, not only by decreasing the cost of healthcare and increasing its quality, but most importantly by improving access to specialized treatments, which today remains far from egalitarian. This inequality spans many areas of healthcare, such as [add areas with refs, like specialties or other transverse things like eg MRI]. Access to surgery in particular remains far from equal: countries with a low expenditure per person undertake fewer than 4% of all surgical procedures world-wide, yet they account for one third of the global population [16]; and in developed countries the number of surgeons per population is declining and is predicted to continue this trend [13].

Telehealth was first envisioned in 1925 [11], it first appeared in research around the 1970's, and skyrocketed from a few articles in 1990 to more than 500 in 2000 [6]. However, adoption of Telehealth has not followed this trend, as before the COVID-19 pandemic, adoption was increasing but still very low compared to in-person consultations [5, 9]. This comes as no surprise, as technology has a history of slow adoption in medicine: the stethoscope for example,

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than ACM must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from permissions@acm.org.

© 2018 Association for Computing Machinery.

Manuscript submitted to ACM

 a technology taken for granted in the toolbox of all doctors today, took 90 years before adoption since its invention in in 1816 by René Laennec [12]. Given that technological requirements such as real-time communication across the world are well-advanced, it is surprising, if not alarming, that telehealth has not been adopted as a normal part of daily healthcare.

Our goal is to understand the limitations of telehealth tools, their benefits, and to open new research avenues that will ultimately lead to an increase in its adoption. The COVID-19 pandemic surged the demand for telecommunication technologies, as healthcare practitioners had to continue providing routine care while reducing the risk of exposure from face-to-face contact with patients and colleagues, and governments around the world facilitated this move by lifting barriers, including waiving regulations on which systems could be used, across which cities and regions and by facilitating the coverage of telehealth consultations. The sudden shift in adoption of synchronous communication during the pandemic, across the broad spectrum of specializations and types of care, created a unique opportunity to study what are the benefit of remote consultations as well as limitations. We carry out a survey to study the use of remote communication technologies during COVID-19. Responses (N=100) include a wide spectrum of medical practitioners, including general doctors, surgeons from 4 specialities, mental health practitioners, physiatrists, blah and bleh, Our findings show that almost all practitioners used commercially available tools, such as Skype or Google Meet, and very few had official tools made available by their institutions. Most importantly, we find how practitioners overcome the most notable limitation of not being able to perform physical checkups, through a new strategy of relying on a nearby family member or even the patient themselves as a proxy to check for vital signs. Finally, we show how remote communication technologies allowed doctors to create a closer bond with their patients, by "entering the patient's home", which is surprising given that before COVID-19, a barrier to healthcare adoption was the belief that it would create a distance between the patient and the doctor. more findings when we have them. Our work contributes to a lack of evidence-based data on use for telemedicine [4].

2 RELATED WORK

2.1 The Slow Technology Adoption in Medicine

Technology adoption in healthcare has a history of being slow. Reason for this slow adoption include 1) the difficulties of gaining a robust knowledge base about the technology, 2) hesitancy both from patients and physicians to put an instrument between them, and 3) the sheer cost of the training needed to implement technology. The adoption of the stethoscope is a prime example. It was invented in 1816 but only widely adopted 90 years later by physicians in the United States because of the lack of formal education, the complexity of interpreting auscultatory information, and the hesitancy to use an instrument rather than leaning the physician's ear on the patient's chest [12]. A more recent example is Electronic Medical Records (EMR). EMRs are "a system that integrates electronically originated and maintained patient-level clinical information, derived from multiple sources, into one point of access" and "replaces the paper medical record as the primary source of patient information" [cite]. According to [1] only 83.8% of non-Federal acute care hospitals have adopted a basic EMR system as of 2017 Many studies have found that there is no difference in patient satisfaction with or without EMR [3, 10]. As more research into the matter has shown, the quality and coordination of care is greatly improved which has substantially refined the efficiency of healthcare practice [2]. Many physicians had a hard time justify the high costs of maintaining and updating EMRs which is close to \$40,000 per year when there was a lack of robust training and knowledge on the technology.

With COVID-19 being an abrupt change to the way healthcare spaces handle patients and appointments, now is the time for HCI to do more research into the impact that audio and visual technology has on patient - practitioner relationships. Since COVID-19, the issue of hesitancy of health practitioners to adopt technology has been put aside as there is an immediate need to adapt to the pandemic. Prior studies have shown that one of the main issues with adoption of technology in healthcare has been the lack of information on it [2]. This could mean that training for the technology is less robust which makes it difficult for it to be universally adopted. Our work digs deeper into the ways that audio and visual technology can be better utilized even after COVID-19.

2.2 Telehealth Before and during COVID-19

Before the COVID-19 pandemic, trends showed some increased interest in use of telehealth services by both healthcare professionals and patients [cite]. Technology that has been integrated into the healthcare system has been basic audio and visual technology like Skype, Zoom, Webex, Google Hangouts etc. One study shows a growth of 52% from 2005 to 2014, and 261% from 2015 to 2017, but still at the end of 2017 telehealth consultations represented 6.57 out of 1000 of consultations [5]. Another study found a growth of 46.4% each year between 2010 and 2015, but still telehealth claims were 1.5 per 10000 consultations in those 5 years [9]. When the COVID-19 pandemic hit, adoption changed drastically. One center in North Carolina (United States) went from 1% to 70% of consultations in just four week, reaching more than 1,000 video visits per day [17]. find another source. Since the pandemic, telehealth has been made mainstream by necessity. Although studies prior to COVID-19 sought out more information on these audio and visual technologies, our work aims build upon the foundation of existing studies and take advantage of the more universal use to build a more diverse knowledge base. Feedback from workshop: these numbers are hard to interpret.

COVID-19 surged the adoption of telehealth. Very few studies show concrete data on telehealth adoption, but these illustrate that, before the pandemic, growth was steadily increasing but still extremely low.

This rapid shift due to COVID-19 required a rapid reorganization of medical centers, for instance by creating a centralized healthcare center and new training [17]. Moreover, COVID-19 reshaped uses for telehealth. During the pandemic, telehealth was used in the front line through triage [cite], infectious disease diagnose (COVID-19) [cite], providing mental health care [18], neurology consultations [7], post-surgery followups [8] and even inpatient care inside an institution to reduce virus transmission, by for example mounting an iPad on a wheeled-stick and moving it across rooms allowing for quarantined specialists to continue providing care [17].

Research on the impacts of COVID-19 on telehealth are emerging, for example to look at the barriers of adoption during the pandemic, including clinician acceptance, difficulties for reimbursement, or the lack of organization in healthcare [14]. Our work also capitalizes on this time to learn about how to move telehealth forward, with a focus on the limitations that health practitioners encountered during this time, and the benefits the technologies provided.

3 HCI AND TELEHEALTH

In surgery: works from Helena. Summarize what did we learn from a research perspective.

4 METHOD

157

158

159 160

161

162 163

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

179

180

181

182

183

184

185

186

187

188

189

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

206 207 208 5 RESULTS

6 SOCIOTECHNICAL GUIDELINES FOR THE ADOPTION OF TELEHEALTH

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was supported by funding X.

REFERENCES

- [1] [n.d.]. Adoption of Electronic Health Record Systems among U.S. Non-Federal Acute Care Hospitals: 2008-2015. /evaluations/data-briefs/non-federal-acute-care-hospital-ehr-adoption-2008-2015.php Library Catalog: dashboard.healthit.gov.
- [3] [n.d.]. Impact of Electronic Medical Record Use on the Patient-Doctor Relationship and Communication: A Systematic Review. 31 ([n.d.]). https://doi.org/10.1007/s11606-015-3582-1
- [4] Nigel R. Armfield, Sisira K. Edirippulige, Natalie Bradford, and Anthony C. Smith. 2014. Telemedicine—is the cart being put before the horse? *The Medical Journal of Australia* 200, 9 (May 2014), 530–533. https://doi.org/10.5694/mja13.11101
- [5] Michael L. Barnett, Kristin N. Ray, Jeff Souza, and Ateev Mehrotra. 2018. Trends in Telemedicine Use in a Large Commercially Insured Population, 2005-2017. JAMA 320, 20 (Nov. 2018), 2147–2149. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2018.12354 Publisher: American Medical Association.
- [6] Farhad Fatehi and Richard Wootton. 2012. Telemedicine, telehealth or e-health? A bibliometric analysis of the trends in the use of these terms. Journal of Telemedicine and Telecare 18, 8 (Dec. 2012), 460–464. https://doi.org/10.1258/jtt.2012.gth108 Publisher: SAGE Publications.
- [7] Scott N. Grossman, Steve C. Han, Laura J. Balcer, Arielle Kurzweil, Harold Weinberg, Steven L. Galetta, and Neil A. Busis. 2020. Rapid implementation of virtual neurology in response to the COVID-19 pandemic. Neurology 94, 24 (June 2020), 1077–1087. https://doi.org/10.1212/WNL.000000000000009677
- [8] Abraham A. Hakim, Alec S. Kellish, Umur Atabek, Francis R. Spitz, and Young K. Hong. 2020. Implications for the use of telehealth in surgical patients during the COVID-19 pandemic. *The American Journal of Surgery* 220, 1 (July 2020), 48–49. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amjsurg.2020.04.026
- [9] Jillian B. Harvey, Shawn Valenta, Kit Simpson, Mark Lyles, and James McElligott. 2018. Utilization of Outpatient Telehealth Services in Parity and Nonparity States 2010–2015. Telemedicine and e-Health 25, 2 (May 2018), 132–136. https://doi.org/10.1089/tmj.2017.0265 Publisher: Mary Ann Liebert, Inc., publishers.
- [10] Clemens Scott Kruse, Nicole Krowski, Blanca Rodriguez, Lan Tran, Jackeline Vela, and Matthew Brooks. 2017. Telehealth and patient satisfaction: a systematic review and narrative analysis. BMJ Open 7, 8 (Aug. 2017), e016242. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2017-016242 Publisher: British Medical Journal Publishing Group Section: Patient-centred medicine.
- [11] Matt Novak. 2012. Telemedicine Predicted in 1925. https://www.smithsonianmag.com/history/telemedicine-predicted-in-1925-124140942/
- [12] Richard A. Reinhart. 2020. The Stethoscope in 19th-Century American Practice: Ideas, Rhetoric, and Eventual Adoption. Canadian Bulletin of Medical History (March 2020). https://doi.org/10.3138/cbmh.317-022019 Publisher: University of Toronto Press.
- [13] George F. Sheldon, Thomas C. Ricketts, Anthony Charles, Jennifer King, Erin P. Fraher, and Anthony Meyer. 2008. The Global Health Workforce Shortage: Role of Surgeons and Other Providers. Advances in Surgery 42 (Sept. 2008), 63–85. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yasu.2008.04.006
- [14] Anthony C Smith, Emma Thomas, Centaine L Snoswell, Helen Haydon, Ateev Mehrotra, Jane Clemensen, and Liam J Caffery. 2020. Telehealth for global emergencies: Implications for coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). Journal of Telemedicine and Telecare 26, 5 (June 2020), 309–313. https://doi.org/10.1177/1357633X20916567 Publisher: SAGE Publications.
- [15] Liezl Van Dyk. 2014. A Review of Telehealth Service Implementation Frameworks. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health 11, 2 (Feb. 2014), 1279–1298. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph110201279
- [16] Thomas G. Weiser, Scott E. Regenbogen, Katherine D. Thompson, Alex B. Haynes, Stuart R. Lipsitz, William R. Berry, and Atul A. Gawande. 2008. An estimation of the global volume of surgery: a modelling strategy based on available data. *The Lancet* 372, 9633 (July 2008), 139–144. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(08)60878-8
- [17] Jedrek Wosik, Marat Fudim, Blake Cameron, Ziad F. Gellad, Alex Cho, Donna Phinney, Simon Curtis, Matthew Roman, Eric G. Poon, Jeffrey Ferranti, Jason N. Katz, and James Tcheng. 2020. Telehealth transformation: COVID-19 and the rise of virtual care. *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association* 27, 6 (June 2020), 957–962. https://doi.org/10.1093/jamia/ocaa067 Publisher: Oxford Academic.
- [18] Xiaoyun Zhou, Centaine L. Snoswell, Louise E. Harding, Matthew Bambling, Sisira Edirippulige, Xuejun Bai, and Anthony C. Smith. 2020. The Role of Telehealth in Reducing the Mental Health Burden from COVID-19. Telemedicine and e-Health 26, 4 (March 2020), 377–379. https://doi.org/10.1089/tmj.2020.0068 Publisher: Mary Ann Liebert, Inc., publishers.